	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/789,045	FORRESTER, KATHY
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723
All Participants:	Status of Applic	ation:
(1) <u>David A. Reifsnyder</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas F. Presson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 February 2007	Time: <u>PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The applicant's representative Thomas F. Presson state 26, 2006, because the case was meant to be abandone	d that no response was filed in I	esponse to the office action mailed on July
Part III.		,
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sed.</li> </ul>	tion. The examiner will provi parate record of the substar	de a written summary of the substance ace of the interview, since the interview
	and the second of the second o	promotive and analysis
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)